

**Attachment 7**

*Godbe Scientific Survey Results*



**GODBE RESEARCH**  
Gain Insight

## **CITY OF UNION CITY**

### 2017 Cannabis Planning Survey

#### Topline Report

n=529

18-minutes

Likely November 2020 Voters

August 9, 2017

**[www.godberesearch.com](http://www.godberesearch.com)**

Northern California and Corporate Offices  
1575 Old Bayshore Highway, Suite 102  
Burlingame, CA 94010

Nevada  
59 Damonte Ranch Parkway, Suite B309  
Reno, NV 89521

Pacific Northwest  
601 108<sup>th</sup> Avenue NE, Suite 1900  
Bellevue, WA 98004

## METHODOLOGY

### Sample Universe:

26,561 Likely November 2020 Voters

### Sample Size:

n=529 Likely November 2020 Voters

Data Collection: Landline, Cell Phone, Online Interviewing from email invitation, and Online from a text invitation

Landline = 73

Cell phone = 67

Email/Online = 112

Text/Online = 277

Margin of Error:  $\pm 4.22\%$

Interview Dates: July 29 to August 7, 2017

## UNION CITY CLIMATE

		Column N %	Count	$\Sigma$ or Mean
1. In your opinion, is the City of Union City going in the right direction, wrong direction or staying about the same?	Right direction	26.5%	140	
	Staying about the same	41.6%	220	
	Wrong direction	10.3%	54	
	DK/NA	21.6%	114	

## GENERAL AWARENESS & SUPPORT OR OPPOSITION

		Column N %	Count	$\Sigma$ or Mean
2. Before taking this survey, had you seen, heard or read anything about the City of Union City's efforts to plan for the commercial cultivation, manufacturing, testing, and sale of marijuana products?	Yes, have seen, heard or read	17.0%	90	
	No, have not seen, heard or read	81.4%	430	
	DK/NA	1.6%	8	
3. Do you support or oppose the legalization of marijuana for recreational purposes?	Strongly support	31.2%	165	
	Somewhat support	22.1%	117	
	Somewhat oppose	11.1%	59	
	Strongly oppose	32.5%	172	
	DK/NA	3.2%	17	
	Total Support	53.3%		
	Total Oppose	43.6%		
4. Do you support or oppose the sale of medical marijuana in the City of Union City?	Strongly support	36.8%	195	
	Somewhat support	25.9%	137	
	Somewhat oppose	9.4%	50	
	Strongly oppose	22.8%	121	
	DK/NA	5.1%	27	
	Total Support	62.7%		
	Total Oppose	32.2%		
5. A majority of Union City voters supported Proposition 64. Does knowing this change your opinion opposing the sale of medical marijuana in the City of Union City?	Yes, knowing this I would consider supporting the sale of medical marijuana	3.6%	6	
	No, still oppose the sale of medical marijuana	93.6%	160	
	DK/NA	2.8%	5	

## LOCATION OF MARIJUANA FACILITIES IN UNION CITY

		Column N %	Count	Σ or Mean
6. Do you support or oppose the legal sale of medical marijuana in retail zoned areas in the City of Union City?	Strongly support	29.2%	155	
	Somewhat support	22.8%	120	
	Somewhat oppose	9.8%	52	
	Strongly oppose	30.2%	160	
	DK/NA	8.0%	42	
	Total Support	52.0%		
	Total Oppose	40.0%		
7. Do you support or oppose the legal sale of medical marijuana in industrial zoned areas in the City of Union City?	Strongly support	31.3%	166	
	Somewhat support	20.3%	107	
	Somewhat oppose	13.4%	71	
	Strongly oppose	28.4%	150	
	DK/NA	6.6%	35	
	Total Support	51.6%		
	Total Oppose	41.8%		
8. Do you support or oppose the City of Union City's efforts to create a comprehensive marijuana program for the commercial cultivation, manufacturing, testing, and sale of medical marijuana products?	Strongly support	39.6%	209	
	Somewhat support	26.5%	140	
	Somewhat oppose	7.5%	40	
	Strongly oppose	21.2%	112	
	DK/NA	5.2%	27	
	Total Support	66.1%		
	Total Oppose	28.7%		

## POSSIBLE MARIJUANA BUSINESS REGULATIONS

		Column N %	Count	Σ or Mean
9. Why is that?	Opposed to marijuana/Program	14.0%	21	
	Exposure to youth	11.8%	18	
	Crime/Invites crime/Drug dealing	11.4%	17	
	Not legal/Federal drug laws	10.5%	16	
	Dangerous/Unhealthy/Addiction/Impaired mind	10.5%	16	
	Not in Union City/My neighborhood	9.0%	14	
	Smell/Air pollution	5.9%	9	
	Available elsewhere/Other cities	5.9%	9	
	Medical use is acceptable	5.6%	8	
	Driving while high/Accidents	5.6%	8	
	Distributed by pharmacists/Hospitals	3.7%	6	
	No recreation use	3.2%	5	
	Tax revenue not a benefit	2.7%	4	
	Public safety/SecuritySafety	2.6%	4	
	Abuse/Medical use abused by recreational users	2.5%	4	
	Medical cards obtained illegally/Used for recreation use instead	2.4%	4	
	Opposed to city involvement	2.3%	3	
	Its a drug	2.1%	3	
	Reduce quality of life/Hurt community	2.0%	3	
	Needs to be controlled	2.0%	3	
	People smoking in car/street/close to kids/homes/parks	1.9%	3	
	Effect on individuals/After consumption	1.7%	3	
	Will be too readily available	1.7%	3	
	Police services will increase/Can't keep up now	1.5%	2	
	No way to enforce regulations	1.4%	2	
	More important issues to address/Traffic/Housing	1.0%	2	
	Lack trust in city/System to control	0.8%	1	
	Environment/Water/Soil	0.4%	1	
	Use of fertilizers/Pesticides	0.4%	1	
	Water/Power requirements needed	0.4%	1	
	Regulations could be circumvented/Loopholes	0.4%	1	
	No test for impairment	0.3%	1	
	Leads to stronger drugs	0.3%	0	
	Retail is acceptable	0.2%	0	
	Robbery of marijuana related businesses	0.0%	0	

		Column N %	Count	Σ or Mean
9. Why is that? (continued)	Problems in Denver	0.0%	0	
	Grow/Sold controlled by government	0.0%	0	
	Grown only on special farms	0.0%	0	
	No cultivation	0.0%	0	
	Will encourage illegal sales/Manufacturing/Cultivation	0.0%	0	
	Not all users are responsible	0.0%	0	
	Secured dispensary is acceptable	0.0%	0	
	Need to know more about the program/Plan	0.0%	0	
	No way to control it	0.0%	0	
	Other Mention - Positive	0.0%	0	
	Other Mention - Negative	1.7%	3	
	Other	0.0%	0	
	DK/NA/Not sure	14.5%	22	
10. Do you support or oppose the safe cultivation, manufacturing and testing of medical marijuana in industrial zoned areas in the City of Union City?	Strongly support	36.1%	191	
	Somewhat support	23.6%	125	
	Somewhat oppose	7.4%	39	
	Strongly oppose	26.5%	140	
	DK/NA	6.3%	34	
	Total Support	59.8%		
	Total Oppose	33.9%		
11. How concerned are you that the cultivation, manufacturing, testing, and sale of medical marijuana in Union City would create public safety issues?	Extremely concerned	25.1%	133	
	Very concerned	15.3%	81	
	Somewhat concerned	28.7%	152	
	Not at all concerned	27.8%	147	
	DK/NA	3.0%	16	

		Column N %	Count	Σ or Mean
12. Why is that?	Crime/Invites crime/Drug dealing	19.1%	41	
	Exposure to youth	18.1%	39	
	Public safety/SecuritySafety	8.8%	19	
	Driving while high/Accidents	8.0%	17	
	Police services will increase/Can't keep up now	4.2%	9	
	Not in Union City/My neighborhood	4.0%	9	
	Leads to stronger drugs	3.7%	8	
	Robbery of marijuana related businesses	3.4%	7	
	Effect on individuals/After consumption	3.2%	7	
	Needs to be controlled	3.2%	7	
	Abuse/Medical use abused by recreational users	2.8%	6	
	Dangerous/Unhealthy/Addiction /Impaired mind	2.7%	6	
	Will be too readily available	2.5%	5	
	Opposed to marijuana/Program	2.5%	5	
	No recreation use	1.9%	4	
	Reduce quality of life/Hurt community	1.8%	4	
	Environment/Water/Soil	1.6%	3	
	Not all users are responsible	1.4%	3	
	Medical use is acceptable	1.3%	3	
	Not legal/Federal drug laws	1.3%	3	
	No cultivation	1.2%	2	
	Retail is acceptable	1.2%	2	
	Available elsewhere/Other cities	0.7%	2	
	Grow/Sold controlled by government	0.7%	2	
	People smoking in car/street/close to kids/homes/parks	0.7%	1	
	Regulations could be circumvented/Loopholes	0.6%	1	
	Lack trust in city/System to control	0.5%	1	
	No way to control it	0.5%	1	
	More important issues to address/Traffic/Housing	0.5%	1	
	Problems in Denver	0.4%	1	
	Smell/Air pollution	0.3%	1	
	Need to know more about the program/Plan	0.2%	1	
	Tax revenue not a benefit	0.2%	1	
	Its a drug	0.2%	0	
	No test for impairment	0.1%	0	
	Opposed to city involvement	0.1%	0	

		Column N %	Count	Σ or Mean
12. Why is that? (continued)	Medical cards obtained illegally/Used for recreation use instead	0.1%	0	
	Will encourage illegal sales/Manufacturing/Cultivation	0.0%	0	
	Distributed by pharmacists/Hospitals	0.0%	0	
	Use of fertilizers/Pesticides	0.0%	0	
	Water/Power requirements needed	0.0%	0	
	Grown only on special farms	0.0%	0	
	Secured dispensary is acceptable	0.0%	0	
	No way to enforce regulations	0.0%	0	
	Other Mention - Positive	0.2%	0	
	Other Mention - Negative	1.6%	4	
	Other	6.5%	14	
	DK/NA/Not sure	16.3%	35	
13. How concerned are you that the cultivation, manufacturing, testing, and sale of medical marijuana in Union City would create environmental issues?	Extremely concerned	14.5%	77	
	Very concerned	10.5%	55	
	Somewhat concerned	29.0%	153	
	Not at all concerned	38.1%	202	
	DK/NA	7.9%	42	
14. Why is that?	Environment/Water/Soil	17.7%	23	
	Use of fertilizers/Pesticides	11.4%	15	
	Smell/Air pollution	9.9%	13	
	Crime/Invites crime/Drug dealing	6.9%	9	
	Exposure to youth	6.5%	9	
	Water/Power requirements needed	6.2%	8	
	Distributed by pharmacists/Hospitals	4.0%	5	
	No way to enforce regulations	3.2%	4	
	Abuse/Medical use abused by recreational users	3.1%	4	
	Grown only on special farms	3.1%	4	
	Not in Union City/My neighborhood	2.7%	4	
	Not all users are responsible	2.7%	3	
	Opposed to marijuana/Program	2.4%	3	
	Reduce quality of life/Hurt community	2.3%	3	
	Not legal/Federal drug laws	2.2%	3	
	Lack trust in city/System to control	2.0%	3	
	Needs to be controlled	1.8%	2	
	Driving while high/Accidents	1.5%	2	
	Dangerous/Unhealthy/Addiction /Impaired mind	1.3%	2	
	Opposed to city involvement	0.9%	1	



		Column N %	Count	Σ or Mean
14. Why is that? (continued)	Public safety/SecuritySafety	0.8%	1	
	Tax revenue not a benefit	0.6%	1	
	Its a drug	0.2%	0	
	People smoking in car/street/close to kids/homes/parks	0.2%	0	
	Need to know more about the program/Plan	0.2%	0	
	Police services will increase/Can't keep up now	0.2%	0	
	No cultivation	0.1%	0	
	Regulations could be circumvented/Loopholes	0.0%	0	
	Robbery of marijuana related businesses	0.0%	0	
	Available elsewhere/Other cities	0.0%	0	
	No test for impairment	0.0%	0	
	Medical cards obtained illegally/Used for recreation use instead	0.0%	0	
	Medical use is acceptable	0.0%	0	
	No recreation use	0.0%	0	
	Problems in Denver	0.0%	0	
	Grow/Sold controlled by government	0.0%	0	
	Retail is acceptable	0.0%	0	
	Will encourage illegal sales/Manufacturing/Cultivation	0.0%	0	
	Will be too readily available	0.0%	0	
	Leads to stronger drugs	0.0%	0	
	Secured dispensary is acceptable	0.0%	0	
	Effect on individuals/After consumption	0.0%	0	
	No way to control it	0.0%	0	
	More important issues to address/Traffic/Housing	0.0%	0	
	Other Mention - Positive	0.4%	1	
	Other Mention - Negative	2.9%	4	
	Other	2.3%	3	
	DK/NA/Not sure	19.0%	25	
15. If the City of Union City requires a medical marijuana dispensary to undergo strict background checks and screening of employees by Union City Police; installation of a physical security system that secures both the property and the building, and uses security guards to keep employees and customers safe; and regulation ensuring that patients meet the new criteria for prescription holders to purchase the medical marijuana, would you support or oppose the cultivation, manufacturing, testing, and sale of medical marijuana in the City of Union City?	Strongly support	41.5%	220	
	Somewhat support	29.5%	156	
	Somewhat oppose	5.0%	26	
	Strongly oppose	21.0%	111	
	DK/NA	3.1%	16	
	Total Support	71.0%		
	Total Oppose	26.0%		

		Column N %	Count	Σ or Mean
16. Why is that?	Police services will increase/Can't keep up now	9.3%	13	
	Regulations could be circumvented/Loopholes	8.1%	11	
	Not in Union City/My neighborhood	7.3%	10	
	Opposed to marijuana/Program	7.0%	10	
	Abuse/Medical use abused by recreational users	6.4%	9	
	Crime/Invites crime/Drug dealing	5.7%	8	
	Dangerous/Unhealthy/Addiction /Impaired mind	4.8%	7	
	Public safety/SecuritySafety	3.8%	5	
	Lack trust in city/System to control	3.3%	5	
	Opposed to city involvement	3.3%	4	
	Will be too readily available	2.9%	4	
	Exposure to youth	2.9%	4	
	Not legal/Federal drug laws	2.5%	3	
	Tax revenue not a benefit	2.4%	3	
	Robbery of marijuana related businesses	2.3%	3	
	Driving while high/Accidents	2.3%	3	
	Reduce quality of life/Hurt community	2.3%	3	
	Medical cards obtained illegally/Used for recreation use instead	1.7%	2	
	More important issues to address/Traffic/Housing	1.4%	2	
	No cultivation	1.4%	2	
	No way to enforce regulations	1.3%	2	
	No way to control it	0.9%	1	
	Smell/Air pollution	0.9%	1	
	Distributed by pharmacists/Hospitals	0.8%	1	
	Available elsewhere/Other cities	0.5%	1	
	Effect on individuals/After consumption	0.5%	1	
	Secured dispensary is acceptable	0.4%	1	
	People smoking in car/street/close to kids/homes/parks	0.3%	0	
	Its a drug	0.3%	0	
	Grown only on special farms	0.3%	0	
	Leads to stronger drugs	0.2%	0	
	Environment/Water/Soil	0.0%	0	
	No test for impairment	0.0%	0	
	Use of fertilizers/Pesticides	0.0%	0	

		Column N %	Count	Σ or Mean
16. Why is that? (continued)	Water/Power requirements needed	0.0%	0	
	Medical use is acceptable	0.0%	0	
	No recreation use	0.0%	0	
	Problems in Denver	0.0%	0	
	Grow/Sold controlled by government	0.0%	0	
	Retail is acceptable	0.0%	0	
	Will encourage illegal sales/Manufacturing/Cultivation	0.0%	0	
	Not all users are responsible	0.0%	0	
	Need to know more about the program/Plan	0.0%	0	
	Needs to be controlled	0.0%	0	
	Other Mention - Positive	1.6%	2	
	Other Mention - Negative	2.8%	4	
	Other	7.4%	10	
	DK/NA/Not sure	20.1%	28	
17. On January 1, 2018, the State of California will begin issuing business licenses to companies that want to sell recreational marijuana. Cities will have discretion on whether to allow the commercial sale of recreational marijuana products. Do you support or oppose the City of Union City issuing business licenses for cultivation, manufacturing, testing, and sale of recreational marijuana products?	Strongly support	31.9%	169	
	Somewhat support	20.8%	110	
	Somewhat oppose	10.5%	55	
	Strongly oppose	33.1%	175	
	DK/NA	3.8%	20	
	Total Support	52.6%		
	Total Oppose	43.6%		

		Column N %	Count	Σ or Mean
18A. There would be police supervision of the business	Much more likely	29.9%	158	52.8%
	Somewhat more likely	22.9%	121	
	No effect	24.8%	131	
	Somewhat less likely	5.6%	30	
	Much less likely	14.3%	76	
	DK/NA	2.5%	13	
18B. Physical security systems that secure both the property and the building, including security cameras and security guards to keep employees and customers safe, would be required	Much more likely	40.4%	213	59.3%
	Somewhat more likely	18.9%	100	
	No effect	21.9%	116	
	Somewhat less likely	5.0%	27	
	Much less likely	11.2%	59	
	DK/NA	2.7%	14	
18C. There would be increased law enforcement focusing on the illegal use and sales	Much more likely	32.4%	171	50.1%
	Somewhat more likely	17.8%	94	
	No effect	25.3%	134	
	Somewhat less likely	4.5%	24	
	Much less likely	17.6%	93	
	DK/NA	2.5%	13	
18D. The Union City Police Department would increase its substance abuse education at local schools	Much more likely	37.1%	196	58.5%
	Somewhat more likely	21.4%	113	
	No effect	23.8%	126	
	Somewhat less likely	2.4%	13	
	Much less likely	11.7%	62	
	DK/NA	3.7%	19	
18E. Marijuana businesses would be required to have odor control that would ensure no smell came from the business location	Much more likely	34.4%	182	54.9%
	Somewhat more likely	20.6%	109	
	No effect	28.8%	152	
	Somewhat less likely	2.2%	11	
	Much less likely	12.7%	67	
	DK/NA	1.5%	8	
18F. All medical marijuana sold would be pesticide free	Much more likely	38.6%	204	57.5%
	Somewhat more likely	18.8%	100	
	No effect	27.9%	148	
	Somewhat less likely	1.9%	10	
	Much less likely	11.7%	62	
	DK/NA	1.0%	5	
18G. There would be environmental regulations to ensure there is no discharge of pesticides and other hazardous materials during cultivation or manufacturing	Much more likely	42.2%	223	60.8%
	Somewhat more likely	18.6%	98	
	No effect	22.8%	121	
	Somewhat less likely	3.3%	17	
	Much less likely	12.0%	63	
	DK/NA	1.2%	6	

		Column N %	Count	Σ or Mean
<b>18H. Fire prevention procedures would be required at all facilities</b>	<b>Much more likely</b>	39.7%	210	58.6%
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	18.9%	100	
	<b>No effect</b>	25.4%	134	
	<b>Somewhat less likely</b>	3.0%	16	
	<b>Much less likely</b>	10.6%	56	
	<b>DK/NA</b>	2.4%	13	
<b>18I. The Union City Police Department would enforce strict background checks and screening of employees at all marijuana businesses</b>	<b>Much more likely</b>	38.9%	206	58.9%
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	20.0%	106	
	<b>No effect</b>	23.9%	126	
	<b>Somewhat less likely</b>	3.7%	19	
	<b>Much less likely</b>	11.2%	59	
	<b>DK/NA</b>	2.4%	13	
<b>18J. There would be regulation ensuring that patients meet the new criteria for prescription holders to purchase medical marijuana</b>	<b>Much more likely</b>	32.6%	172	54.1%
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	21.6%	114	
	<b>No effect</b>	27.0%	143	
	<b>Somewhat less likely</b>	3.6%	19	
	<b>Much less likely</b>	12.2%	64	
	<b>DK/NA</b>	3.1%	17	
<b>18K. The Police Department would monitor all large commercial sales to ensure the product is not being resold illegally by unlicensed dealers</b>	<b>Much more likely</b>	37.2%	197	58.4%
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	21.1%	112	
	<b>No effect</b>	23.1%	122	
	<b>Somewhat less likely</b>	2.7%	14	
	<b>Much less likely</b>	13.5%	71	
	<b>DK/NA</b>	2.3%	12	

	Column N %	Count	$\Sigma$ or Mean
18G. There would be environmental regulations to ensure there is no discharge of pesticides and other hazardous materials during cultivation or manufacturing			0.77
18H. Fire prevention procedures would be required at all facilities			0.76
18B. Physical security systems that secure both the property and the building, including security cameras and security guards to keep employees and customers safe, would be required			0.74
18I. The Union City Police Department would enforce strict background checks and screening of employees at all marijuana businesses			0.73
18D. The Union City Police Department would increase its substance abuse education at local schools			0.72
18F. All medical marijuana sold would be pesticide free			0.71
18K. The Police Department would monitor all large commercial sales to ensure the product is not being resold illegally by unlicensed dealers			0.67
18E. Marijuana businesses would be required to have odor control that would ensure no smell came from the business location			0.63
18J. There would be regulation ensuring that patients meet the new criteria for prescription holders to purchase medical marijuana			0.61
18A. There would be police supervision of the business			0.50
18C. There would be increased law enforcement focusing on the illegal use and sales			0.44

		Column N %	Count	Σ or Mean
19. Are there any other requirements or regulations regarding medical and recreational marijuana that you want to see in place in the City of Union City?	Not in Union City/My neighborhood	5.2%	28	
	Not near schools	5.1%	27	
	Don't allow it	4.4%	23	
	Don't allow use in public areas	3.1%	16	
	Opposed to marijuana	2.7%	14	
	Opposed to recreational marijuana	2.5%	13	
	Education for drug/Alcohol addiction	2.1%	11	
	No sales to minors/Protect kids	2.1%	11	
	Enforce regulations/Have penalties	1.7%	9	
	Do not over regulate/Too much regulation	1.6%	8	
	Additional cost for police/Implementation	1.4%	8	
	Medical marijuana is acceptable	1.4%	7	
	Educate/Train police not to profile/Harass marijuana users	1.4%	7	
	If all regulations/Requirements met should be good	1.4%	7	
	Treat like any other business/Alcohol establishments	1.3%	7	
	Limit hours of operations	1.2%	7	
	Will be a burden on police resources	1.1%	6	
	Product are safe/Tested	1.1%	6	
	Abuse	0.8%	4	
	Safety/Security	0.8%	4	
	Penalties for driving under the influence of marijuana	0.8%	4	
	Not in residential areas	0.8%	4	
	Dispense like prescription/Dosage/Labels/Warnings	0.7%	4	
	Prescriptions issued under strict requirements	0.7%	4	
	Make it illegal	0.7%	4	
	No pesticides/Chemicals	0.6%	3	
	Those involved now get first chance at a license	0.6%	3	
	Need more information	0.5%	3	
	Hurt community/Quality of life	0.5%	3	
	Concerned about other important issues	0.5%	3	
	Limit number of store/dispensary	0.5%	3	
	Not near parks	0.5%	3	

		Column N %	Count	Σ or Mean
19. Are there any other requirements or regulations regarding medical and recreational marijuana that you want to see in place in the City of Union City? (continued)	Periodic review/Inspections/Police checks	0.3%	2	
	Limit store front advertisement	0.3%	1	
	How will tax revenues be used	0.2%	1	
	Funding to schools/Education	0.2%	1	
	Tax it	0.2%	1	
	Possible corruption/Need consequences	0.2%	1	
	Dangerous/Unhealthy	0.1%	1	
	Protection for home growers	0.1%	1	
	City can benefit financially	0.1%	1	
	Use revenue wisely	0.1%	1	
	Need complaint resolution process	0.1%	1	
	High water use/Drought	0.1%	0	
	Not near library's	0.0%	0	
	Penalties for under age use	0.0%	0	
	Other Mention - Positive	0.1%	0	
	Other Mention - Negative	0.3%	2	
	No/None/Nothing	26.4%	140	
	Other	0.5%	3	
	DK/NA/Not sure	29.3%	155	
20. How many medical marijuana retail outlets should be allowed in the City of Union City?	0	27.4%	145	
	1	11.6%	61	
	2	9.5%	50	28.0%
	3	6.9%	37	
	4	2.8%	15	
	5	7.5%	40	11.8%
	6	1.5%	8	
	7	0.1%	1	
	8	0.6%	3	4.3%
	10	3.6%	19	
	15	0.5%	3	
	19	0.1%	0	
	20	0.5%	3	
	25	0.9%	5	
	35	0.5%	2	
	40	0.2%	1	
	50	1.2%	7	5.7%
	60	0.1%	0	
	100	0.9%	5	
	110	0.5%	3	
	500	0.0%	0	
	900	0.1%	0	
	1000	0.2%	1	
	Not sure/DK/NA	22.9%	121	



		Column N %	Count	Σ or Mean
21. How many marijuana cultivation or manufacturing facilities should be allowed in the City of Union City?	0	29.5%	156	
	1	13.5%	71	
	2	11.1%	59	28.9%
	3	4.3%	23	
	4	2.2%	12	
	5	5.4%	29	7.8%
	6	0.2%	1	
	7	0.1%	0	
	8	0.5%	2	3.3%
	10	2.7%	15	
	15	0.5%	3	
	20	0.3%	1	
	25	0.4%	2	
	30	0.3%	1	
	50	0.3%	1	3.2%
	60	0.1%	0	
	100	0.2%	1	
	500	0.1%	1	
	900	0.7%	4	
	1000	0.4%	2	
	Not sure/DK/NA	27.3%	144	

## GROSS RECIEPTS TAX

		Column N %	Count	Σ or Mean
<b>22. To maintain and enhance the City of Union City, with funding that cannot be taken by Sacramento, including:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• supervision of cannabis businesses,</li> <li>• enforcement of cannabis (marijuana) licensing requirements and environmental regulations,</li> <li>• substance abuse educational programs, and</li> <li>• other general city services,</li> </ul> <b>shall the City of Union City levy an ongoing tax of up to 10% of gross receipts of cannabis businesses in the City, providing \$500,000 annually, requiring independent financial oversight and audits, and that all funds stay in the City of Union City?</b>	<b>Definitely Yes</b>	35.7%	189	
	<b>Probably Yes</b>	25.4%	134	
	<b>Probably No</b>	9.9%	52	
	<b>Definitely No</b>	19.9%	105	
	<b>DK/NA</b>	9.2%	48	
	<b>Total Yes</b>	61.1%		
	<b>Total No</b>	29.7%		

## DEMOGRAPHICS

		Column N %	Count	Σ or Mean
<b>A. Now, just a few background questions for comparison purposes. Do any children under the age of 18 live in your household?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	34.8%	184	
	<b>No</b>	64.3%	340	
	<b>DK/NA</b>	0.9%	5	
<b>B. Respondent's Gender</b>	<b>Male</b>	46.5%	246	
	<b>Female</b>	52.4%	277	
	<b>Other</b>	1.1%	6	
<b>C. Age</b>	<b>18-29</b>	15.8%	83	
	<b>30-39</b>	15.6%	83	
	<b>40-49</b>	16.9%	89	
	<b>50-64</b>	27.7%	147	
	<b>65+</b>	24.1%	127	
	<b>Not coded</b>	0.0%	0	
<b>D. Ethnic Surname</b>	<b>Japanese</b>	0.6%	3	
	<b>Chinese</b>	9.7%	51	
	<b>Hispanic</b>	17.4%	92	
	<b>Jewish</b>	0.5%	3	
	<b>Armenian</b>	0.0%	0	
	<b>Vietnamese</b>	1.3%	7	
	<b>Italian</b>	2.9%	15	
	<b>Korean</b>	0.4%	2	
	<b>African American</b>	3.2%	17	
<b>E. Homeownership Status</b>	<b>Owner</b>	64.5%	341	
	<b>Renter</b>	35.5%	188	
<b>F. Survey Type</b>	<b>Cell phone</b>	6.0%	32	
	<b>Landline</b>	39.9%	211	
	<b>Email to online</b>	30.0%	158	
	<b>Text to online</b>	24.1%	127	
<b>G. Party</b>	<b>Democrat</b>	57.7%	305	
	<b>Republican</b>	12.0%	64	
	<b>Other</b>	3.3%	17	
	<b>DTS</b>	27.0%	143	
<b>H. Household Party Type</b>	<b>Dem 1</b>	21.8%	115	
	<b>Dem 2+</b>	21.0%	111	
	<b>Rep 1</b>	4.2%	22	
	<b>Rep 2+</b>	3.1%	16	
	<b>Other 1</b>	12.4%	65	
	<b>Other 2+</b>	6.6%	35	
	<b>Dem &amp; Rep</b>	4.6%	24	
	<b>Dem &amp; Other</b>	17.7%	93	
	<b>Rep &amp; Other</b>	5.2%	28	
	<b>Dem, Rep &amp; Other</b>	3.6%	19	

		Column N %	Count	Σ or Mean
<b>I. Registration Date</b>	<b>2013 to 2017</b>	43.4%	230	
	<b>2009 to 2012</b>	11.3%	60	
	<b>2005 to 2008</b>	15.9%	84	
	<b>2001 to 2004</b>	6.8%	36	
	<b>1997 to 2000</b>	7.3%	39	
	<b>1993 to 1996</b>	3.1%	16	
	<b>1981 to 1992</b>	7.0%	37	
	<b>1980 or before</b>	5.2%	27	
	<b>Not Coded</b>	0.0%	0	
<b>J. Voting History</b>		See detailed crosstabs		
<b>K. Times Voted in Last Elections</b>	<b>0</b>	12.9%	68	
	<b>1</b>	10.6%	56	
	<b>2</b>	8.4%	45	
	<b>3</b>	4.9%	26	
	<b>4</b>	8.1%	43	
	<b>5</b>	6.1%	32	
	<b>6</b>	3.9%	21	
	<b>7</b>	4.0%	21	
	<b>8</b>	6.3%	33	
	<b>9</b>	4.3%	23	
	<b>10</b>	5.2%	27	
	<b>11</b>	7.5%	40	
	<b>12</b>	8.1%	43	
	<b>13</b>	9.6%	51	
	<b>14</b>	0.1%	0	
<b>L. Absentee Voter</b>	<b>0</b>	40.3%	213	
	<b>1</b>	11.7%	62	
	<b>2</b>	4.9%	26	
	<b>3</b>	3.9%	21	
	<b>4</b>	4.4%	23	
	<b>5</b>	4.4%	23	
	<b>6</b>	2.4%	13	
	<b>7</b>	3.3%	17	
	<b>8</b>	3.4%	18	
	<b>9</b>	3.3%	18	
	<b>10</b>	2.1%	11	
	<b>11</b>	3.4%	18	
	<b>12</b>	6.0%	32	
	<b>13</b>	6.4%	34	
<b>M. Likely November 2020 Voter</b>	<b>Yes</b>	100.0%	529	
	<b>No</b>	0.0%	0	
<b>N. Likely June 2020 Voter</b>	<b>Yes</b>	67.1%	355	
	<b>No</b>	32.9%	174	

		Column N %	Count	$\Sigma$ or Mean
<b>O. Likely November 2018 Voter</b>	<b>Yes</b>	81.5%	431	
	<b>No</b>	18.5%	98	
<b>P. Likely June 2018 Voter</b>	<b>Yes</b>	43.0%	228	
	<b>No</b>	57.0%	301	
<b>Q. Permanent Absentee Voter</b>	<b>Yes</b>	70.7%	374	
	<b>No</b>	29.3%	155	
<b>R. Likely Absentee Voter</b>	<b>Yes</b>	55.1%	291	
	<b>No</b>	44.9%	238	